1 2 3	The meeting was called to order at 6:36 p.m. by Planning Board Chairman Stu Lewin. Present were regular member Peter Hogan, alternate members David Litwinovich and Mitch Larochelle, and Ex-Officio Dwight Lovejoy. Also present were Planning Coordinator Nic
4 5	Strong and Recording Clerk Valerie Diaz.
5 6	Present in the audience for all or part of the meeting were Mike Dahlberg, LLS, Jay &
7	Dot Marden, Karen Roach, Selectman Christine Quirk, Selectman Rodney Towne, Bob Todd,
8 9	LLS, Guy Tino, Randy Parker, Dana Lorden, Dawn Tuomala and Dave Elliott.
10	The Chairman seated David Litwinovich as a full-voting member in Mark Suennen's
11	absence and seated Mitch Larochelle as a full-voting member in Don Duhaime's absence.
12	
13	NEW ERA C.F. TRUST (OWNER)
14	DAHLBERG LAND SERVICES, Inc. (APPLICANT)
15	Submission of Application/Public Hearing/Minor Subdivision & Lot Line Adjustment
16	Location: Gregg Mill & Beard Roads
17	Tax Map/Lot #6/12-14 & 6/12-12
18	Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District
19 20	
20	Present in the audience were Mike Dahlberg, LLS, Jay & Dot Marden and Karen Roach,
21	Rodney Towne, Christine Quirk and Bob Todd, LLS.
22 23	The Chairman read the public hearing notice. He stated that the applicant had submitted
23 24	waivers and the Board had decided to act on the waivers following a site walk. He continued that the site walk had taken place on October 5, 2012. He noted that no revised place had been
24 25	that the site walk had taken place on October 5, 2013. He noted that no revised plans had been submitted. He indicated that the Board needed to act on the submitted driveway permit
23 26	applications.
20 27	The Chairman asked if Mike Dahlberg, LLS, had anything to add to the discussion. Mike
28	Dahlberg, LLS, answered that he did not have anything to add.
29	The Chairman asked for Mike Dahlberg, LLS, to review the submitted waiver requests.
30	Mike Dahlberg, LLS, stated that a waiver request had been submitted for a Certified Erosion and
31	Sediment Control Plan and explained that the two lots that would be developed, Tax Map/Lot
32	#6/12-14 & #6/12-14-1, were located within the 250' Shoreland Protection area. He continued
33	that at the time the applicant applied for a septic permit the erosion control would be addressed
34	through the required Shoreland Protection Permit.
35	Mike Dahlberg, LLS, explained that a waiver request for a HISS map had been submitted
36	because the property contained one soil type with variable slopes. He continued that the HISS
37	map would not change anything on the plan.
38	Mike Dahlberg, LLS, stated that a waiver request had been submitted for Traffic, Fiscal
39	and Environmental Impact Studies as he did not believe that they were necessary for the
40	proposed two house lots on the 9 acres of land.
41	Mike Dahlberg, LLS, explained that a waiver had been submitted for the Watershed
42	Outline Drainage as it usually applied to developments with a large increase of impervious areas.
43	He indicated that the proposed two house lots would not trigger the need for the Watershed
	1

1	NEW ERA CF TRUST, cont.
2	
3	Outline Drainage.
4	Mike Dahlberg, LLS, stated that there was no need to provide soils information for the
5	Wetlands Conservation District as there were no wetlands on the property.
6	The Chairman asked for comments or questions from the Board; there were no comments
7	or questions.
8	
9	David Litwinovich MOVED to grant the submitted waiver requests based on the
10	justifications presented by Mike Dahlberg, LLS, and also because further review will be
11	forthcoming during the State Shoreland Protection permitting process. Dwight
12	Lovejoy seconded the motion and it PASSED unanimously.
13	
14	The Chairman indicated that the Road Agent had signed the two driveway permit
15	applications and added some requirements for sight line improvements. He stated that the sight
16	line issues had been noted and reviewed at the site walk.
17	
18	David Litwinovich MOVED to approve the two driveway permits: #13-31 for Tax
19	Map/Lot #6/12-14-1 and #13-32 for Tax Map/Lot #6/12-14, with the standard Planning
20	Board requirements: 1. This permit requires two inches (2") of winter binder (pavement)
21	to be applied to the driveway to a minimal distance of twenty-five feet (25') from the
22	centerline of the road. 2. The driveway intersection with the road shall be joined by
23	curves of ten foot (10') radii minimum. 3. The driveway shall intersect with the road at
24	an angle of 60 - 90 degrees. Dwight Lovejoy seconded the motion and it PASSED
25	unanimously.
26	·
27	The Chairman asked if the applicant was okay with the comments from the Coordinator
28	with regard to the proposed easement deed. Mike Dahlberg, LLS, answered yes. The Chairman
29	asked if any Board members felt that the proposed deed needed to be reviewed by Town
30	Counsel. He noted that the deed was similar enough to other deeds that had been previously
31	approved and he did not believe it was necessary to send to Town Counsel. The Board members
32	agreed with the Chairman.
33	The Chairman stated that a Shoreland Protection Permit was needed before the lot could
34	be disturbed by stumping or construction. Mike Dahlberg, LLS, agreed with the Chairman's
35	statement.
36	The Chairman explained that historically the Board had made the following items
37	requirements for active and substantial development or building and substantial completion of
38	improvements:
39	Active and Substantial Development
40	• Recording of Mylar at the Hillsborough County Registry of Deeds
41	Substantial Completion of Improvements
42	 Installation of driveway and foundation within the 5 year vesting period.
43	The Chairman asked if any Board members disagreed with the listed requirements; no members

October 8, 2013

NEW ERA CF TRUST, cont.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

32

33

34

35

36

disagreed.

The Chairman asked for comments and/or questions from the audience; there were no comments or questions. The Chairman asked for comments and/or questions from the Board; there were no comments or questions.

Peter Hogan **MOVED** to approve the Minor Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment Plan for New Era CF Trust, for Tax Map/Lot #6/12, 6/12-12 and 6/12-14, Gregg Mill and Beard Roads, such that Tax Map/Lot #6/12-14 is divided into two lots: Tax Map/Lot #6/12-14 of 2.66 acres and Tax Map/Lot #6/12-14-1 of 2.73 acres; and such that Parcel A of 0.235 acres is annexed from Tax Map/Lot #6/12-14 to Tax Map/Lot #6/12; and Parcel B of 5.738 acres is annexed from Tax Map/Lot #6/12-14 to Tax Map/Lot #6/12-12, subject to:

14 15 C

CONDITIONS PRECEDENT:

- 1. Submission of a minimum of four (4) blue/blackline copies of the revised plat, including all checklist corrections and any corrections as noted at this hearing;
- 2. Submission of the Mylar for recording at the HCRD;
 - 3. Submission of State Subdivision Approval, including adding the approval number to the final plat;
- 4. An 'Individual Stormwater Management Plan' (ISWMP) to be submitted prior to the issuance of a building permit, will be required for land disturbance or development in 'Critical Areas' (both those designated on the plan or created during development). For building permits requiring an ISWMP, Certificates of Occupancy will only be issued after receipt of a 'Stormwater Management Plan Compliance Statement' as specified in the New Boston Subdivision Regulations."
- 5. Deeds for each lot shall have the following statement: 'The property herein described is subject to the following condition as described in the recorded subdivision plan referenced above: A Stormwater Management Plan will be required prior to the issuance of a building permit if any land is to be disturbed in the designated or created Critical Areas.
 - 6. Payment of any outstanding fees related to the subdivision application and/or the recording of documents with the HCRD (if necessary).
 - 7. Upon completion of the conditions precedent, the final plans and Mylar shall be signed by the Board and forwarded for recording at the HCRD.

The deadline date for compliance with the conditions precedent shall be **December 8**, 2013, confirmation of which shall be an administrative act, not requiring further action by the Board. Should compliance not be confirmed by the deadline date and a written request for extension is not submitted by that date, the applicant is hereby put on notice that that the Planning Board may convene a hearing under RSA 676:4-a to revoke the approval.

3

1 2	NEW ERA C	F TRUST, cont.	
3 4 5	this mo local la	pplicants are further put on notice that the lot line adjustment approval as part of otion constitutes recognition that the lot configurations are in conformance with and use regulations. To complete the lot line adjustment, deeds must be	
6	transferred. Additionally, the applicant is reminded that a Shoreland Protection Permit		
7	will be required by the State of NH prior to disturbance of the lots within the Shoreland		
8	Protec	tion Area.	
9			
10		VE AND SUBSTANTIAL DEVELOPMENT OR BUILDING AND	
11	SUBS	TANTIAL COMPLETION OF IMPROVEMENTS:	
12	1		
13	1.	Within 24 months after the date of approval, the following items must be	
14		completed in order to constitute "active and substantial development or building"	
15		pursuant to RSA 674:39, I, relative to the 5-year exemption to	
16		regulation/ordinance changes:	
17		Recording the Mylar at the Hillsborough County Registry of Deeds.	
18	2.	The following items must be completed in order to constitute "substantial	
19 20	Ζ.	The following items must be completed in order to constitute "substantial completion of the improvements" pursuant to RSA 674:39, II, relative to final	
20		vesting:	
21		Driveway and foundation installation within the 5 year window.	
22		Diffeway and foundation instantion within the 5 year window.	
23	David	Litwinovich seconded the motion and it PASSED unanimously.	
25	David	Entwinovien seconded the motion and it TASSED unanimously.	
26	Informationa	l session with Bob Todd, LLS, Todd Land Use Consultants, LLC, to discuss a	
20 27		division on Tax Map/Lot #4/5 on Rustic Lane and Route 136.	
28	proposed sus	artiston on Tux mup/2007 no on Rustie Dune and Route 1000	
29	Presen	t in the audience were Bob Todd, LLS, Rodney Towne, Christine Quirk, Dave	
30		no, and Randy Parker.	
31		hairman noted that this was an informational session and as such preliminary	
32		nsultation would be directed at review of the basic concept of the proposal and	
33	suggestions which might be of assistance in resolving problems with meeting requirements		
34		onsideration. He continued that such consultation did not bind either the applicant	
35	-	nd statements made by the Planning Board members would not be the basis for	
36		said members or invalidating any action taken. He stated that they were limited to	
37		posals in conceptual terms only and in general terms such as desirability of types	
38		nt and proposals under the Master Plan.	
39	-	odd, LLS, indicated that he was present on behalf of Denis Pinard, Trustee of the	
40		r Revocable Trust. He advised that there was a 7 acre +/- lot located at Tax	
41	•	and it had been created in 1986, prior to the existence of Rustic Lane. He	
42		the lot was a back lot with a long access strip that was never used. He noted that it	
43	was not used a	due to wetlands as well as a steep slope. He stated that the owner had continued to	

October 8, 2013

1 INFO. SESSION, TAX MAP/LOT #4/5, RUSTIC LANE, cont.

2 3

4

5

6

use the lot with the benefit of an easement on a strip of land that was located on what was now Rustic Lane. He stated that in 1989 the Town took a deed for a potion of Rustic Lane and laid out the road but it ended up slightly off center and the shoulder of the road was physically on the subject property.

Bob Todd, LLS, indicated that Tax Map/Lot #1/54 never had frontage on any road and
had been granted an easement to the State highway. When Rustic Lane was built the lot had
access to that road via easement but the driveway had been built out of the easement area onto
Lot #4/5. Bob Todd, LLS, pointed out that the turnaround was located right at the edge of the

11 right-of-way. .

Bob Todd, LLS, stated that the easement benefited Lot #1/54 and went with the remaining Dicey property that existed on the other side of the road.

Bob Todd, LLS, advised that the Allen Seymour Trust wanted to subdivide Tax Map/Lot #4/5 which would create another back lot with 50' of frontage on Rustic Lane.

Bob Todd, LLS, identified the location of the existing house on the plan and noted that it would remain with 2 acres of land. He added that the lot would have a new driveway as the old driveway would be abandoned. He pointed out the location where the owner wished to construct

19 a new house and identified the location of a new driveway. He pointed out the location of an

20 existing utility line and stated that he did not believe it was an issue as it would be a beneficial in 21 providing hook-ups to new development.

Bob Todd, LLS, advised that he had completed a topographical survey and a wetland delineation.

Bob Todd, LLS, stated that he was looking for guidance on how to handle the encroachment of the Town road onto Lot #4/5.

The Chairman acknowledged that Bob Todd, LLS, had a question about the encroachment of the Town road onto Lot #4/5 and asked if he had a question about the driveway that missed the 50' right-of-way. Bob Todd, LLS, answered that the driveway that missed the 50' right-of-way was not a serious issue. He added that he would suggest to the owner that an

accompany of a serious issue. He added that he would suggest to the owner that an
 easement for this driveway encroachment stay within the building setback line as it encompassed
 the entire existing driveway.

The Chairman asked for confirmation that the driveway associated with the State
 Driveway Permit was never built. Bob Todd, LLS, answered that the driveway had never been
 built.

Peter Hogan asked for the access for the existing house lot to be identified. Bob Todd,
 LLS, identified the location of the existing house lot access on the plan. Peter Hogan asked if the
 200' square would be located in the new lot. Bob Todd, LLS, answered yes. He noted that the

38 lot contained favorable soil and slopes and also provided good access to the Town road.

The Chairman asked the Coordinator how the Board would approach the issue of the road encroachment onto Lot #4/5. The Coordinator indicated that it should be referred to the Board of Selectmen as it was a highway issue.

42 Bob Todd, LLS, reviewed the plan with the Board and pointed out wetlands, septic,

43 driveways and frontage.

October 8, 2013

INFO. SESSION, TAX MAP/LOT #4/5, RUSTIC LANE, cont.

1 2

The Coordinator stated that the proposed back lot was not the usual configuration for a 3 4 back lot and noted that the Subdivision Regulations required a backlot to have 50' of frontage, be 5 located behind a road frontage lot and to have a corridor of 50' in width extending to the main body of the lot. Bob Todd, LLS, agreed with the Coordinator and stated that the back lot did 6 7 have the 50' access but did not have a narrow driveway going into the lot that would behind a 8 lot. He continued that the lot would be behind this land of Dicey. Peter Hogan commented that 9 the lot would be more beside the lot and not behind it. The Chairman agreed that the proposed 10 lot was not a back lot.

Bob Todd, LLS, asked if the owner would be in compliance with the definition of a back
 lot with the proposed access. Peter Hogan indicated that the owner would not be in compliance.
 Bob Todd, LLS, noted that he would discuss the encroachment issue with the Board of

14 Selectmen.

Informational session with the owners of Northeast Café to discuss manufacturing wine out of the Café at 8 Mill Street, Tax Map/Lot #19/11, and the use of the warehouse building on Tax Map/Lot #19/11-1, as an accessory storage building to the Café property.

19

20 Present in the audience were Guy Tino, Randy Parker, Dave Elliot, Dana Lorden, Dawn
21 Tuomala, Rodney Towne and Christine Quirk.

22 The Chairman noted that this was an informational session and as such preliminary 23 conceptual consultation would be directed at review of the basic concept of the proposal and 24 suggestions which might be of assistance in resolving problems with meeting requirements 25 during final consideration. He continued that such consultation did not bind either the applicant 26 or the Board and statements made by the Planning Board members would not be the basis for 27 disgualifying said members or invalidating any action taken. He stated that they were limited to 28 discussing proposals in conceptual terms only and in general terms such as desirability of types 29 of development and proposals under the Master Plan.

30 Guy Tino stated that he was the owner of the Northeast Café and Randy Parker was the 31 owner of the building that housed the Northeast Café.

Guy Tino stated that they wanted to know if they could use Tax Map/Lot #19/11-1 for storage for the Café. He indicated that Tax Map/Lot #19/11-1 was located next to the Café and was zoned "R-A". He stated that he had received permission from the owners to use the barn for storage and further stated that it was allowed in the "R-A" District.

Guy Tino stated that he had a federal manufacturing license to operate a commercial
winery. He explained that wine would not be sold by the glass at the Café and they would only
be making and storing the wine onsite. He indicated that he needed a letter from the Town that
would be provided to the State that would allow him to move forward.

40 The Chairman explained that in order for a building to be considered an accessory
41 building it needed to be on the same lot as the primary building. He noted that even though the

42 building was next door, it was on a different lot and therefore, did not fit as smoothly with the

43 Zoning Ordinance.

October 8, 2013

1 INFO. SESSION, NORTH EAST CAFÉ, cont.

2 3

4

5

6 7 The Chairman asked if the wine would be manufactured in the Café. Guy Tino answered yes and added that the Café met the requirements for the federal licensing. He added that they would be bottling and selling the wine from the Café. He noted that he would be manufacturing less than 2K gallons per year. The Chairman indicated that, if approve, wine manufacturing and retail would be added to the Café site plan.

8 The Chairman asked for comment and/or questions from the Board. David Litwinovich 9 asked if there was an issue making wine versus making a muffin. The Chairman answered that 10 he was unsure and added that there may be no issues.

11 The Chairman stated that there were three matters to discuss; the first was using the 12 building next door, on a different lot, for Café storage. He noted that the second matter was the 13 ability to make and store the wine and the third was selling the wine as retail in addition to the 14 existing business.

15 Guy Tino advised that his current Class C License allowed him to sell retail as long as it 16 did not exceed 20% of a la carte food sales. He noted that with regard to alcohol sales he needed 17 to pay federal taxes prior to sales and would pay state taxes at the time of the sale.

Randy Parker stated that when he moved to New Boston in 1963 Cecil Marshall had owned the buildings located at Tax Map/Lot #19/11 & #19/11-1. He stated that Cecil Marshall had operated a meat market and general store out of the buildings. He advised that there had been a connection between the two buildings as he used the barn for his storage. Randy Parker stated that he had removed the passageway as it had rotted and noted that the barn had always been used as an accessory to the market and had been used as an accessory to the Café.

The Chairman stated that the Planning Department would spend some time looking into the matters to provide options on how to move forward.

Guy Tino asked for the Board's consensus on the possibility of manufacturing wine out of the Café. The Chairman stated that he did not see any reasons not to pursue it, however, he wanted make sure that it fit current Zoning. Peter Hogan commented that it did not bother him in the least.

Rodney Towne asked if the issue of using the barn for storage for the Café was strictly the owner's decision and if it could be used for storage rentals for any type of items. The

32 Chairman answered that it may be the case, however, to avoid getting into trouble he wanted the

33 Planning Department to look into it.

The Chairman asked for any questions and/or comments; there were no questions or comments. He indicated that the Planning Department would contact Guy Tino or Randy Parker prior to the next meeting if there were any questions and schedule another discussion.

37

38 S&R HOLDING COMPANY, LLC (OWNER)

39 DANA J. LORDEN (AGENT)

- 40 <u>Submission of Application/Public Hearing/Minor Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment</u>
- 41 Location: McCurdy Road
- 42 Tax Map/Lot #12/19 & #12/19-40
- 43 Residential-Agricultural "R-A"

1	S&R HOLDING COMPANY, LLC, cont.
2	
3	Present in the audience were Dana Lorden, Dawn Tuomala, Dave Elliott, Rodney Towne
4	and Christine Quirk.
5	The Chairman read the public hearing notice. He indicated that the application form and
6	coversheet had been signed and submitted on September 23, 2013. He noted that fees in the
7	amount of \$125.00 were outstanding.
8	Dawn Toomala of Monadnock Survey provided revised plans to the Board. She advised
9	that her company had been hired to prepare a certified plot plan for this lot and it had been
10	determined that the original lot line went through the deck that was built for a new house on the
11	lot. She continued that because of the lot line location the house was located in the setback. She
12	stated that the applicant was proposing to make an equal land swap of 0.105 acres. She noted
13	that the areas, wetlands and open space calculations would not change and would fix the issues
14	with the setbacks.
15	
16	Peter Hogan MOVED to accept the application of S&R Holding, LLC, McCurdy Road,
17	Tax Map/Lot #12/19 and 12/19-40, as complete. David Litwinovich seconded the motion
18	and it PASSED unanimously.
19	
20	The Chairman stated that the deadline for Board action was December 12, 2013.
21	Dawn Tuomala advised that waiver requests had been submitted for the topographic
22	contours, natural features, existing water mains and for the soil information per the Wetlands
23	Conservation District. She explained that due to the nature of the proposal, an equal land swap,
24 25	the items listed were not necessary. Peter Hogan stated that he did not have an issue with any of
25	the waiver requests.
26	Deter Henry MOVED to growt the four mainers of listed on the October 9, 2012, letter
27	Peter Hogan MOVED to grant the four waivers as listed on the October 8, 2013, letter from Monodnack Survey. Dwight Lougieu accorded the motion and it BASSED
28	from Monadnock Survey. Dwight Lovejoy seconded the motion and it PASSED
29 30	unanimously.
30 31	The Roard decided that a site welk was not necessary for this let line adjustment
32	The Board decided that a site walk was not necessary for this lot line adjustment. The Chairman asked for questions and/or comments from the Board and the audience;
32 33	there were no questions or comments.
33 34	there were no questions of comments.
3 4 35	Peter Hogan MOVED to approve the Minor Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment
35 36	Plan for S&R Holding Company, LLC, for Tax Map/Lot #12/19 and 12/19-40,
30 37	McCurdy Road, such that Parcel A of 0.105 acres is annexed from Tax Map/Lot
38	#12/19-40 to #12/19, and Parcel B of 0.105 acres is annexed from Tax Map/Lot
39	#12/19 = 40 to $#12/19$, and 1 area b of 0.105 acres is annexed from 1 at Map/100 #12/19 to $#12/19-40$, resulting in no change to the existing acreages of each parcel
40	and therefore leaving Tax Map/Lot #12/19 with 72.15 acres and Tax Map/Lot
40 41	#12/19-40 with 1.755 acres, subject to:
42	112(1) to with 1.755 acros, subject to.
42 43	CONDITIONS PRECEDENT:
r.J	

1	S&R	HOLDING COMPANY, LLC, cont.		
2				
3		1. Submission of a minimum of four (4) blue/blackline copies of the revised		
4		plat, including all checklist corrections and any corrections as noted at this		
5		hearing;		
6		2. Submission of the Mylar for recording at the HCRD;		
7		3. Submission of a certificate of bounds set and the appropriate fee for		
8 9		recording same with the HCRD. (If necessary.)		
9 10		4. Payment of any outstanding fees related to the subdivision application and/or the recording of documents with the HCRD.		
10		5. Upon completion of the conditions precedent, the final plans and mylar shall		
12		be signed by the Board and forwarded for recording at the HCRD.		
12		be signed by the board and forwarded for recording at the next.		
14	The deadline date for compliance with the conditions precedent shall be December 8 ,			
15	2013, confirmation of which shall be an administrative act, not requiring further action by			
16		the Board. Should compliance not be confirmed by the deadline date and a written		
17		request for extension is not submitted by that date, the applicant is hereby put on notice		
18		that that the Planning Board may convene a hearing under RSA 676:4-a to revoke the		
19		approval.		
20		The applicants are further put on notice that this lot line adjustment approval constitutes		
21		recognition that the lot configurations are in conformance with local land use regulations.		
22		To complete the lot line adjustment, deeds must be transferred.		
23				
24		Dwight Lovejoy seconded the motion and it PASSED unanimously.		
25				
26		CELLANEOUS BUSINESS AND CORRESPONDENCE FOR THE MEETING OF		
27 28	Octo	ber 8, 2013.		
28 29	1.	Approval of the September 10, 2013, minutes as distributed by email.		
30	1.	Approval of the September 10, 2015, minutes as distributed by email.		
31		Peter Hogan MOVED to approve the minutes of September 10, 2013, as written. David		
32		Litwinovich seconded the motion and it PASSED unanimously.		
33				
34	2.	Approval of the September 17, 2013, minutes distributed by email.		
35				
36		The Chairman believed that Mark Suennen had made a comment with regard to the Town		
37	Engineer coordinating the testing for the Town. The Coordinator stated that she would recheck			
38	the recording of the meeting and the minutes would be brought back to the next meeting for			
39	appro	oval.		
40				
41	3a.	Copy of letter dated September 25, 2013, from Stuart Lewin, Planning Board Chair, to		
42		Emile Bussiere, Esq., re: Indian Falls/Susan Road Connection, New Boston, NH, for the		
43		Board's information.		

1	MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, cont.		
2 3 4 5		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion occurred.	
6 7 8	3b.	Letter dated September 30, 2013, from Kevin Leonard, P.E., Northpoint Engineering, LLC, to Bobby Patel, Thibeault Corporation of New England, re: Indian Falls/Susan Road Connection, for the Board's information.	
9 10 11		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion occurred.	
12 13 14 15	4.	Letter dated September 18, 2013, from Earl Sandford, P.E., re: Forest View II, Wetland Crossing Design Review, with attachments, for the Board's information.	
16 17 18	Engine now.	The Chairman asked if the above-referenced response had been reviewed by the Town er. The Coordinator answered that Kevin Leonard, PE, was reviewing the submission	
19 20 21 22 23	6.	Email dated October 2, 2013, from Kevin Leonard, P.E., to Bobby Patel, Thibeault Corporation, re: Indian Falls/Susan Road Connection, for the Board's information. (With attached back up documents.)	
24 25 26		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion occurred.	
20 27 28 29	7.	Site Inspection Notes, Saturday, October 5, 2013, Paul Sizemore, 150 Weare Road, Tax Map/Lot #5/29-1, office trailer, for the Board's information.	
29 30 31 32		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion occurred.	
33 34 35	8.	Letter copy dated October 6, 2013, from Kevin Leonard, P.E., Northpoint Engineering, to Mr. John Neville, John E. Neville Excavating, Inc., re: Woodland Development (Lemay Subdivision) – Testing Coordination, for the Board's information.	
36 37 38 39		The Chairman referenced the last second to last paragraph of the September 17 th meeting s and commented that Kevin Leonard, P.E., was exactly right with regard to the direction o him by the Planning Board.	
40 41 42 43	9.	Letter received October 8, 2013, from George Merrill, C&G Ledges, 11 Whipplewill Road, Tax Map/Lot #3/63-13, NRSPR/Storage Buildings, request for a 2 year extension to his conditions subsequent deadline of November 1, 2013, for the Board's action.	

1 2	MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, cont.		
2 3 4 5 6 7	The Coordinator advised that the above-referenced matter was originally approved in 2002 and construction had been ongoing since that time. She explained that there were eight separate building units. She stated that in November of 2011 an extension had been granted the conditions subsequent to November 1, 2013.		
8 9 10 11 12	Peter Hogan MOVED to extend the conditions subsequent to November 1, 2015, for George Merrill, C&G Ledges, 11 Whipplewill Road, Tax Map/Lot #3/63-13, Small Scale Planned Commercial District. David Litwinovich seconded the motion and it PASSED unanimously.		
12 13 14 15 16	10. Letter received October 8, 2013, from Richard Perusse, Road Agent, to Stuart Lewin, Planning Board Chairman, re: Under Drain, Fieldstone Drive and Lorden Road for the Board's information.		
17 18 19	The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion occurred.		
20 21 22	David Litwinovich to report on his findings relative to the Water Resources Management Plan Goals & Objectives and potential updates.		
23 24 25	Present in the audience were Dave Elliott and Christine Quirk. The Chairman stated that David Litwinovich had volunteered at the last meeting to review the Water Resources Management Plan, (WRMP), goals and objectives and provide input		
26 27 28 29	 to the Board. David Litwinovich noted the following as options for updating the WRMP: Hire SNHPC to assist with update for a fee of \$14,600; Do nothing; or 		
30 31 32	 Redline the plan with the following for the review by the Planning Board and Planning Department: Review and verify that conditions in the plan still exist. 		
33 34 35 36	 Review and verify that conditions in the plan still exist. Update items to current conditions without incurring expenses. Change reference to outdated information i.e., Zoning Ordinance, Fire Protection Code, point pollutant sources, etc. 		
37 38 39 40	David Litwinovich listed items contained in recommendations for the Non-Regulatory and Regulatory Programs. He highlighted items that had been implemented and he believed discussion should take place for the possibility of removing those recommendations.		
41 42	Non-Regulatory Programs		
43	1. Planning Board requests that the SNHPC keeps them informed of new data for local		

1	WATER	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PLAN, cont.
2 3		use and regional/state water resources programs.
4	2.	Provide the public with educational information concerning proper use and
5		maintenance of septic systems.
6	3.	Conservation Commission should encourage residents and businesses to conserve
7		water.
8	4.	Conservation Commission, Fire Department and Recreation Commission should co-
9		sponsor Household Hazardous Waste Collection Day.
10	5.	Conservation Commission and Piscataquog Watershed Association should continue
11		to try and obtain wetlands through gift etc. to prevent development of wetland areas
12		and maintain flowage.
13		Planning Board should work with neighboring towns to protect watersheds.
14	7.	Conservation Commission should work to include funding in the CIP for acquisition
15		of land to protect surface and groundwater resources.
16	8.	Highway Department should use properly calibrated salt spreaders to limit
17		contamination.
18	9.	Conservation Commission should sponsor programs from UNH Cooperative
19		Extension and the USDA to control agricultural runoff.
20	D1- (
21	Regulator	y Programs
22 23	1	Non-Residential Site Plan Review Regulations should be amended to require all
23 24	1.	applicants to identify petroleum and chemical storage tanks.
25	2.	Building Code Ordinance should be amended to review the building permit
26	2.	application to obtain storage tank information not covered in the NRSPR in note #1.
27	3.	Zoning Ordinance should be amended to establish a Groundwater Resources
28		Conservation District.
29	4.	Conservation Commission should consider mapping and documenting prime wetlands
30		and recommend their adoption as part of the Zoning Ordinance. Once this is
31		completed the State of NH is required to give special consideration to these areas
32		during the review of dredge and fill permit applications.
33		
34	Da	wid Litwinovich noted that he had not reviewed the Building Code.
35		
36		e Chairman asked if David Litwinovich's suggestion was to complete an internal
37		th the Planning Department and Planning Board. David Litwinovich answered yes.
38		e Chairman suggested that the Board review and comment on David Litwinovich's
39		of the WRMP and schedule a discussion for a couple meetings out. He asked if there
40		copy of the WRMP. The Coordinator did not believe that there was ever a soft copy
41		e original having been done in 1989. The Chairman asked the Coordinator to provide
42	a suggesti	on on how to create the update, i.e., redlined, change pages or scanned in.
43		

1 2		ued discussion, re: Zoning Ordinance/Subdivision/Non-Residential Site Plan Review ations questions, particularly landscaping.	
3			
4		Present in the audience was Dave Elliott and Christine Quirk.	
5		The Chairman stated that it had been proposed to move some of the landscaping specifics	
6		Zoning and replace them with a reference to the Non-Residential Site Plan Review	
7	-	tions where that language would be moved to. He commented that the proposed changes	
8		great as they were written. David Litwinovich agreed with the Chairman. He questioned	
9	if the C	Commercial Design Guidelines matched the proposed changes.	
10	т	Mitch Larochelle commented that he had not yet reviewed the proposed changes. Dwight	
11	Lovejo	y stated that he was good with the proposed changes.	
12	The Chairman stated that the Board would plan to move forward with the proposed		
13	cnange	s and schedule the appropriate public hearings.	
14	MICO	ELLANEQUE DUCINESS AND CODDESDONDENCE EOD THE MEETINC OF	
15 16		ELLANEOUS BUSINESS AND CORRESPONDENCE FOR THE MEETING OF er 8, 2013, Cont.	
10	Octobe	er 8, 2013, Com.	
18	5a.	Letter dated October 2, 2013, from Brian Pratt, P.E., CLD Consulting Engineers, re:	
19	Ju.	Fieldstone Drive, Tax Map/Lot #9/21-5, revision to original detention pond design, for	
20		the Board's action.	
20			
22	5b.	Email dated October 8, 2013, from Kevin Leonard, PE, Northpoint Engineering, LLC, re:	
23	20.	review of detention pond design change.	
24			
25		The Chairman questioned if the Board needed to wait 45 minutes to discuss the above-	
26	referen	ced matters as the applicant had planned on attending the discussion. The Coordinator	
27		that she had the phone numbers for the parties. She asked if the Board wanted to wait	
28	and have her contact the parties and advise of the schedule change or act on the matter and she		
29	could contact them after the discussion. The Chairman asked for the Board member's thoughts		
30		matter. Peter Hogan indicated that he would not wait 45 minutes to have the discussion.	
31		The Chairman stated that in the midst of doing construction the applicant had run into	
32	ledge a	nd as a result the detention pond could not be constructed as originally planned. He	
33	advised	d that a new plan had been submitted. Peter Hogan believed that it was more accurate to	
34		t the applicant did not want to construct the detention pond as originally planned as	
35	-	d to saying that they "could not".	
36		The Chairman advised that the Town Engineer had reviewed the proposed changes and	
37	was co	ncerned that the drainage computations did not meet the original design intent. The	
38	Coordi	nator clarified that the question from the Town Engineer was specific and explained that	
39	the original basin had an area at the bottom of the basin of a certain number of square feet and a		
40	top, sto	brage number with a certain number of square feet. She continued that from the original	
41	hydro-	cad calculations Northpoint Engineering determined that the bottom of the basin and the	
42		nber were different from the original numbers on the plan. She stated that the Town	
43	Engine	er needed clarification that the applicant had based the revised plan numbers on the	

October 8, 2013

1 MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, cont.

2 3 original plan numbers and to ensure that the detention ponds would keep the same capacity. 4 The Chairman stated that as long as the proposed changes met the original set of values 5 and the Town Engineer and/or the Road Agent were agreeable to the new plan the Planning 6 Board could approve the proposed design change. He continued that the Board could also decide 7 to reject the design change or could wait for the applicant to arrive to discuss. 8 Dwight Lovejoy asked if the only thing being changed was the surface area. The 9 Chairman indicated that the bottom area was changing as well. Peter Hogan stated that intent of 10 a detention pond was to absorb a high flow of water and disperse it slowly and questioned how 11 that could occur on ledge. Dave Elliott clarified that the intention of a detention pond was to 12 hold a large volume of water and release it slowly and absorption was not a factor. The 13 Chairman asked how the slower release occurred if it was not absorbing the water. Dave Elliot 14 explained that the slower release occurred through the outlet. Dwight Lovejoy pointed out the 15 outlet on the plan. 16 17 Peter Hogan **MOVED** to accept the recommendations of the Town Engineer to 18 prove the calculations and upon his approval the Planning Board approved the design 19 change. Dwight Lovejoy seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously. 20 21 The Coordinator indicated that she would attempt to contact the parties to let them know 22 the results of the discussion and try to avoid them coming to the Town Hall for no reason. 23 24 Peter Hogan **MOVED** to adjourn at 8:07 p.m. David Litwinovich seconded the motion 25 and it **PASSED** unanimously. 26 27 Respectfully Submitted, Minutes Approved: 10/22/13 28 Valerie Diaz, Recording Clerk